

From: philr@sonic.net
To: [EIS](#)
Cc: [City Council](#); [Pamela Stuart](#)
Subject: Draft EIS Comment
Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 7:58:57 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL]

I don't agree with the current [EIS scope](#) as it focuses too narrowly on traffic concurrency. This Environmental Impact Study (EIS) will be used to drive changes to the comprehensive plan and land use policy which will have significant impacts the lives of every resident of Sammamish.

- The significant lack of transparency of this process and effort is unacceptable.
- The EIS scope comments submitted were not considered or addressed.
- There has been no public deliberation by planning commission or city council. There have been no public hearings. See [WAC 197-11-535](#).

The scope of this EIS focuses on traffic concurrency and does not address issues of housing imbalance or sustainability or greenhouse gas emissions. It also does not actually explore transit options outside of building expensive and unreasonable road projects.

I request, at a minimum, the city hold two public hearings on the Draft BLUMA EIS (DEIS) at two City Council meetings at least two weeks apart. Even more appropriate would be to have study sessions on BLUMA EIS first, then Planning Commission public hearings, then City Council public hearings addressing all comments submitted from the scoping statement and this draft document.

Sincerely,
Phil Ritter, CPA
Sammamish, WA

Please be aware that email communications with members of the City Council, City Commissioners, or City staff are public records and are subject to disclosure upon request.