

**From:** [Chandler Stever](#)  
**To:** [EIS; City Council](#)  
**Subject:** BLUMA EIS  
**Date:** Monday, September 27, 2021 2:33:06 PM

---

**[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

To the City of Sammamish,

I don't agree with the draft of the BLUMA [EIS scope](#) as it focuses only on traffic concurrency. This EIS will be used to drive changes to the comprehensive plan, land use policy which will have significant impacts on the lives of Sammamish residents.

- The significant lack of public hearings during this process and effort is unacceptable.
- The majority of EIS scoping comments submitted in July of 2020 were not considered or added to the scope.
- There has been no public deliberation by Planning Commission or City Council about the BLUMA EIS scope.
- There have been no public hearings held on the BLUMA EIS.  
See [WAC 197-11-535](#).

The scope of this EIS focuses on traffic concurrency and neither addresses issues of internal housing imbalances in Sammamish over the cycle of life, nor appropriate local services and generational sustainability. It does not ponder suitable transit solutions, it does discuss adding expensive roads.

I request the city hold two public hearings on the Draft BLUMA EIS (DEIS) at two separate City Council meetings - held at least two weeks apart. Even more appropriate would be to have study sessions on BLUMA EIS first, then Planning Commission public hearings, then City Council public hearings addressing all comments submitted from during the scoping period of July 2020 and this draft DEIS document.

Chandler Stever, 9-27-21

Please be aware that email communications with members of the City Council, City Commissioners, or City staff are public records and are subject to disclosure upon request.